IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

FILED
IN OPEN COURT
DEC - 7 2016
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

COMPUTER SCIENCES CORP.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	1:16-CV-261 (JCC/IDD)
	)	
JOHN PAUL MAGUIRE,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

JURY VERDICT FORM

On the claims of Plaintiff, Computer Sciences Corporation ("CSC"), against the Defendant, John Paul Maguire ("Maguire), we, the jury, being duly empaneled and sworn upon our oath, present the following answers to the questions submitted by the Court:

COUNT I: Breach of Contract

1. Has CSC proven by a preponderance of the evidence that there was a contract between the parties?

Yes __X__ No ______

If your answer to the above question is yes, please go on to the next question. If your answer is no, then your verdict on CSC's breach of contract claim is for the defendant, Mr. Maguire.

2. Has CSC proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Maguire breached that contract by soliciting Eddie Woods to join Cognizant?

Yes ______ No __X__

If your answer to the above question is yes, please go on to the next question. If your answer is no, then your verdict on CSC's breach of contract claim is for the defendant, Mr. Maguire.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

3. Did Mr. Maguire prove by a preponderance of the evidence that CSC waived enforcement of this contract?

Yes _______ No _______

If you answered "No" to either question 1 or 2 above, *or* if you answered "Yes" to question 3 above, please place a checkmark on the line below:

We find for the Defendant ___X___.

If you answered "Yes" to questions 1 and 2 above, *and* answered "No" to question 3 above, please go on to the last question.

4. What amount of damages, if any, has CSC proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

We find for the Plaintiff and award $_____________________.

Count II: Fraud

1. Has CSC proven by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Maguire misrepresented a material fact?

Yes _______ No ___X___

If your answer to the above question is yes, please go on to the next question. If your answer is no, then your verdict on CSC's fraud claim is for the defendant, Mr. Maguire.

2. Has CSC proven by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Maguire did so knowingly and intentionally?

Yes _______ No _______

If your answer to the above question is yes, please go on to the next question. If your answer is no, then your verdict on CSC's fraud claim is for the defendant, Mr. Maguire.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

3. Has CSC proven by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Maguire intended for CSC rely upon it?

Yes _______ No ______

If your answer to the above question is yes, please go on to the next question. If your answer is no, then your verdict on CSC's fraud claim is for the defendant, Mr. Maguire.

4. Has CSC proven by clear and convincing evidence that CSC relied upon it?

Yes _______ No ______

If your answer to the above question is yes, please go on to the next question. If your answer is no, then your verdict on CSC's fraud claim is for the defendant, Mr. Maguire.

5. Has CSC proven by clear and convincing evidence that CSC was damaged as a result?

Yes _______ No ______

If you answered "No" to any of the questions 1-5 above:

We find for the Defendant X.

If you answered "Yes" to questions 1-5 above, please go on to the last question.

6. What amount of damages, if any, has CSC shown that it sustained?

We find for the plaintiff and award $____________________.

Your deliberations are complete. Please have the foreperson sign and date this verdict form and notify the Court that you have reached a verdict.

REDACTED

Date: 12/7/2016 ____________________

Foreperson